



DRAMA

0411/11

Paper 1 Written Examination

May/June 2018

MARK SCHEME

Maximum Mark: 80

Published

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the question paper and the Principal Examiner Report for Teachers.

Cambridge International will not enter into discussions about these mark schemes.

Cambridge International is publishing the mark schemes for the May/June 2018 series for most Cambridge IGCSE™, Cambridge International A and AS Level and Cambridge Pre-U components, and some Cambridge O Level components.

IGCSE™ is a registered trademark.

This document consists of **15** printed pages.

Generic Marking Principles

These general marking principles must be applied by all examiners when marking candidate answers. They should be applied alongside the specific content of the mark scheme or generic level descriptors for a question. Each question paper and mark scheme will also comply with these marking principles.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 1:

Marks must be awarded in line with:

- the specific content of the mark scheme or the generic level descriptors for the question
- the specific skills defined in the mark scheme or in the generic level descriptors for the question
- the standard of response required by a candidate as exemplified by the standardisation scripts.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 2:

Marks awarded are always **whole marks** (not half marks, or other fractions).

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 3:

Marks must be awarded **positively**:

- marks are awarded for correct/valid answers, as defined in the mark scheme. However, credit is given for valid answers which go beyond the scope of the syllabus and mark scheme, referring to your Team Leader as appropriate
- marks are awarded when candidates clearly demonstrate what they know and can do
- marks are not deducted for errors
- marks are not deducted for omissions
- answers should only be judged on the quality of spelling, punctuation and grammar when these features are specifically assessed by the question as indicated by the mark scheme. The meaning, however, should be unambiguous.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 4:

Rules must be applied consistently e.g. in situations where candidates have not followed instructions or in the application of generic level descriptors.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 5:

Marks should be awarded using the full range of marks defined in the mark scheme for the question (however; the use of the full mark range may be limited according to the quality of the candidate responses seen).

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 6:

Marks awarded are based solely on the requirements as defined in the mark scheme. Marks should not be awarded with grade thresholds or grade descriptors in mind.

Question	Answer	Marks				
1	<p>Suggest a prop that could be used by <u>either</u> of the PORTLY GENTLEMEN in Scene 3, and say how it could be used for dramatic effect.</p> <p>The stage directions at the opening of Scene 3 mention a collecting tin and a clipboard, so it is likely that most candidates will select one of these and will therefore be awarded a mark for doing so. The second mark is reserved for a suggestion as to how the prop can be used for dramatic effect.</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>A suggestion of an appropriate prop for either of the actors playing the PORTLY GENTLEMEN.</td> <td>1 Mark</td> </tr> <tr> <td>A suggestion as to how this prop could be used for dramatic effect.</td> <td>1 Mark</td> </tr> </table>	A suggestion of an appropriate prop for either of the actors playing the PORTLY GENTLEMEN.	1 Mark	A suggestion as to how this prop could be used for dramatic effect.	1 Mark	2
A suggestion of an appropriate prop for either of the actors playing the PORTLY GENTLEMEN.	1 Mark					
A suggestion as to how this prop could be used for dramatic effect.	1 Mark					

Question	Answer	Marks				
2	<p>Identify <u>one</u> point in the extract where stage technology might be used, and say why it could enhance the drama.</p> <p>There are several moments where stage technology could enhance the drama. Many of the changes of scene – or moments of transformation within scenes – call for quasi-magical visual effects that would be best achieved through technological means. Allow one mark for the identification of where such an effect would be well placed, and a further mark for outlining why.</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>An appropriate point in the extract.</td> <td>1 Mark</td> </tr> <tr> <td>An outline of why it would be effective.</td> <td>1 Mark</td> </tr> </table>	An appropriate point in the extract.	1 Mark	An outline of why it would be effective.	1 Mark	2
An appropriate point in the extract.	1 Mark					
An outline of why it would be effective.	1 Mark					

Question	Answer	Marks						
3	<p>Look at FRED’s speech from line 93 (‘There are many things...’) to line 106–7 (‘and I say, God bless it!’). What <u>three</u> pieces of advice would you give to the actor on how to deliver the speech effectively?</p> <p>Fred seeks in this speech to show the importance to him of celebrating Christmas, even though it has brought him no financial gain whatsoever. It is an impassioned appeal to Scrooge to abandon his miserly ways and enjoy the festivities, recognising that money is not the greatest good in the world and cannot buy happiness.</p> <p>Allow credit for each of three suggestions so long as each can be justified from the text.</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>An appropriate piece of advice as to how to deliver the speech effectively.</td> <td>1 Mark</td> </tr> <tr> <td>A second appropriate piece of advice as to how to deliver the speech effectively.</td> <td>1 Mark</td> </tr> <tr> <td>A third appropriate piece of advice as to how to deliver the speech effectively.</td> <td>1 Mark</td> </tr> </table>	An appropriate piece of advice as to how to deliver the speech effectively.	1 Mark	A second appropriate piece of advice as to how to deliver the speech effectively.	1 Mark	A third appropriate piece of advice as to how to deliver the speech effectively.	1 Mark	3
An appropriate piece of advice as to how to deliver the speech effectively.	1 Mark							
A second appropriate piece of advice as to how to deliver the speech effectively.	1 Mark							
A third appropriate piece of advice as to how to deliver the speech effectively.	1 Mark							

Question	Answer	Marks														
4	<p>Look at Scene 21 and suggest <u>two</u> ways in which the actors could convey a strong sense of emotional energy to the audience. Why would each of these be effective?</p> <p>Scene 21 is a fast-moving episode, which sets up a fantasy scene with FRED and WIFE on a flying bed, with SCROOGE and the GHOST intervening for comic effect. This is effectively a type of party game, with SCROOGE enjoying himself. It establishes a new level of emotional intensity by means of rapid pacing and intense interaction between the actors.</p> <p>Allow credit for suggestions as to how the emotional energy of the passage could be conveyed to an audience, and an additional mark for saying why it would be effective.</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="268 719 1369 1025"> <tr> <td data-bbox="268 719 1177 770">A suggestion of a way of conveying emotional energy.</td> <td data-bbox="1177 719 1369 770">1 Mark</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" data-bbox="268 770 1369 801">and</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="268 801 1177 853">A valid explanation as to why this method would be effective.</td> <td data-bbox="1177 801 1369 853">1 Mark</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" data-bbox="268 853 1369 884">and/or</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="268 884 1177 936">A further suggestion of a way of conveying emotional energy.</td> <td data-bbox="1177 884 1369 936">1 Mark</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" data-bbox="268 936 1369 967">and</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="268 967 1177 1019">A valid explanation as to why this method would be effective.</td> <td data-bbox="1177 967 1369 1019">1 Mark</td> </tr> </table>	A suggestion of a way of conveying emotional energy.	1 Mark	and		A valid explanation as to why this method would be effective.	1 Mark	and/or		A further suggestion of a way of conveying emotional energy.	1 Mark	and		A valid explanation as to why this method would be effective.	1 Mark	4
A suggestion of a way of conveying emotional energy.	1 Mark															
and																
A valid explanation as to why this method would be effective.	1 Mark															
and/or																
A further suggestion of a way of conveying emotional energy.	1 Mark															
and																
A valid explanation as to why this method would be effective.	1 Mark															

Question	Answer	Marks														
5	<p>You are coaching the actors in Scene 19 up to line 740 ('God bless us every one.'). Suggest <u>two</u> aspects you wish them to work on, and say how you think this would improve their performance.</p> <p>Scene 19 establishes the atmosphere of the Cratchit household, with a sense of purposeful bustle in an environment of extreme poverty. Allow for any suggestions that recognise how the actors playing the roles can convey this dramatic significance.</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="268 1469 1369 1776"> <tr> <td data-bbox="268 1469 1177 1520">Identification of one aspect to work on.</td> <td data-bbox="1177 1469 1369 1520">1 Mark</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" data-bbox="268 1520 1369 1552">and</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="268 1552 1177 1603">A valid explanation as to how this would improve performance.</td> <td data-bbox="1177 1552 1369 1603">1 Mark</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" data-bbox="268 1603 1369 1635">and/or</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="268 1635 1177 1686">Identification of a further aspect to work on.</td> <td data-bbox="1177 1635 1369 1686">1 Mark</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" data-bbox="268 1686 1369 1718">and</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="268 1718 1177 1769">A valid explanation as to how this would improve performance.</td> <td data-bbox="1177 1718 1369 1769">1 Mark</td> </tr> </table>	Identification of one aspect to work on.	1 Mark	and		A valid explanation as to how this would improve performance.	1 Mark	and/or		Identification of a further aspect to work on.	1 Mark	and		A valid explanation as to how this would improve performance.	1 Mark	4
Identification of one aspect to work on.	1 Mark															
and																
A valid explanation as to how this would improve performance.	1 Mark															
and/or																
Identification of a further aspect to work on.	1 Mark															
and																
A valid explanation as to how this would improve performance.	1 Mark															

Question	Answer	Marks										
6	<p>You have been cast in the role of SCROOGE. How would you convey his changing state of mind in Scene 29?</p> <p>This scene is the culmination of the action, as Scrooge's attitudes and motivations are transformed as a result of his visitation by the three Ghosts. This should capture the emotional journey from the start of the scene, as the Ghost of Christmas Yet To Come shows him his potential future, through to his excitement and elation as he realises that he has the opportunity to change things immediately as he has not missed Christmas Day. The scene opens with Scrooge's residual positivity from his encounter with the Ghost, through his despair, and culminating in his euphoric babble as he realises a new opportunity has been afforded him.</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="268 651 1369 1070"> <tr> <td data-bbox="268 651 1177 701">Rudimentary comments about SCROOGE's state of mind.</td> <td data-bbox="1177 651 1369 701">1 mark</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="268 701 1177 786">A general comment about SCROOGE's state of mind and rudimentary ideas about how to deliver the speech.</td> <td data-bbox="1177 701 1369 786">2 marks</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="268 786 1177 871">Some understanding of SCROOGE's changing state of mind and a competent grasp of how to deliver the speech.</td> <td data-bbox="1177 786 1369 871">3 marks</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="268 871 1177 987">A clear understanding of the changes in SCROOGE's changing state of mind, and several suggestions as how to deliver the speech.</td> <td data-bbox="1177 871 1369 987">4 marks</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="268 987 1177 1070">A detailed discussion of SCROOGE's changing state of mind, and a thorough understanding of how to deliver the speech.</td> <td data-bbox="1177 987 1369 1070">5 marks</td> </tr> </table>	Rudimentary comments about SCROOGE's state of mind.	1 mark	A general comment about SCROOGE's state of mind and rudimentary ideas about how to deliver the speech.	2 marks	Some understanding of SCROOGE's changing state of mind and a competent grasp of how to deliver the speech.	3 marks	A clear understanding of the changes in SCROOGE's changing state of mind, and several suggestions as how to deliver the speech.	4 marks	A detailed discussion of SCROOGE's changing state of mind, and a thorough understanding of how to deliver the speech.	5 marks	5
Rudimentary comments about SCROOGE's state of mind.	1 mark											
A general comment about SCROOGE's state of mind and rudimentary ideas about how to deliver the speech.	2 marks											
Some understanding of SCROOGE's changing state of mind and a competent grasp of how to deliver the speech.	3 marks											
A clear understanding of the changes in SCROOGE's changing state of mind, and several suggestions as how to deliver the speech.	4 marks											
A detailed discussion of SCROOGE's changing state of mind, and a thorough understanding of how to deliver the speech.	5 marks											

Question	Answer	Marks										
7	<p>How did your choice of language reveal the personality of the character you played in your devised piece?</p> <p>Candidates should focus on the nature of the role and the choice of language that was employed. Allow credit for both of these.</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="268 1413 1369 1798"> <tr> <td data-bbox="268 1413 1177 1462">The candidate identifies the role played.</td> <td data-bbox="1177 1413 1369 1462">1 mark</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="268 1462 1177 1547">The candidate identifies the role played, AND makes a general comment about the choice of language.</td> <td data-bbox="1177 1462 1369 1547">2 marks</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="268 1547 1177 1632">A competent explanation of the role that was played, with some indications about the choice of language.</td> <td data-bbox="1177 1547 1369 1632">3 marks</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="268 1632 1177 1718">A clear discussion of the role played, with relevant examples about the choice of language.</td> <td data-bbox="1177 1632 1369 1718">4 marks</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="268 1718 1177 1798">A proficient discussion of the role played, with several relevant examples about the choice of language.</td> <td data-bbox="1177 1718 1369 1798">5 marks</td> </tr> </table>	The candidate identifies the role played.	1 mark	The candidate identifies the role played, AND makes a general comment about the choice of language.	2 marks	A competent explanation of the role that was played, with some indications about the choice of language.	3 marks	A clear discussion of the role played, with relevant examples about the choice of language.	4 marks	A proficient discussion of the role played, with several relevant examples about the choice of language.	5 marks	5
The candidate identifies the role played.	1 mark											
The candidate identifies the role played, AND makes a general comment about the choice of language.	2 marks											
A competent explanation of the role that was played, with some indications about the choice of language.	3 marks											
A clear discussion of the role played, with relevant examples about the choice of language.	4 marks											
A proficient discussion of the role played, with several relevant examples about the choice of language.	5 marks											

Question	Answer	Marks										
8	<p data-bbox="268 248 1331 315">Describe a significant contrast that you wanted to bring out in your devised piece, and explain how effective you were in achieving this.</p> <p data-bbox="268 349 1350 416">It is assumed that the piece of devised drama will contain a significant contrast, but allow for contrasts that seem relatively minor so long as they are discussed.</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="268 450 1369 831"> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="276 461 1177 499">The candidate describes a contrast in the piece.</td> <td data-bbox="1177 461 1369 499">1 mark</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="276 510 1177 577">The candidate describes a contrast in the piece AND makes a general comment about its effectiveness.</td> <td data-bbox="1177 510 1369 577">2 marks</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="276 589 1177 656">The candidate discusses a contrast in the piece and gives a competent explanation of why it was effective.</td> <td data-bbox="1177 589 1369 656">3 marks</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="276 667 1177 734">The candidate describes clearly a contrast in the piece and offers several comments to explain why it was effective.</td> <td data-bbox="1177 667 1369 734">4 marks</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="276 745 1177 813">The candidate offers a very perceptive discussion of a contrast in the piece and a proficient discussion of why it was effective.</td> <td data-bbox="1177 745 1369 813">5 marks</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	The candidate describes a contrast in the piece.	1 mark	The candidate describes a contrast in the piece AND makes a general comment about its effectiveness.	2 marks	The candidate discusses a contrast in the piece and gives a competent explanation of why it was effective.	3 marks	The candidate describes clearly a contrast in the piece and offers several comments to explain why it was effective.	4 marks	The candidate offers a very perceptive discussion of a contrast in the piece and a proficient discussion of why it was effective.	5 marks	5
The candidate describes a contrast in the piece.	1 mark											
The candidate describes a contrast in the piece AND makes a general comment about its effectiveness.	2 marks											
The candidate discusses a contrast in the piece and gives a competent explanation of why it was effective.	3 marks											
The candidate describes clearly a contrast in the piece and offers several comments to explain why it was effective.	4 marks											
The candidate offers a very perceptive discussion of a contrast in the piece and a proficient discussion of why it was effective.	5 marks											

Question	Answer	Marks														
9	<p>The play is intended to be performed by a small company. As an actor, you have been asked to play the Ghosts of Christmas Past, Present and Future. What approach would you take to ensure all three are distinctive?</p> <p>The extract assumes that there will be multi-role playing by the company. To that end, the same actor could play the role of the three GHOSTs since they do not appear on stage at the same time. Candidates should offer a detailed explanation of how each would be approached in order to create a distinctive character in performance.</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="268 584 1369 1915"> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="268 584 379 842">23–25</td> <td data-bbox="379 584 1294 842"> <p><i>Shows a sophisticated practical understanding of the varying aspects of the roles</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A comprehensive discussion of how the roles could be played, showing sophisticated understanding of the ghosts and their significance in the extract. • Excellent, practical suggestions with sustained and detailed reference to the extract. </td> <td data-bbox="1294 584 1369 1317" rowspan="3" style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; text-orientation: mixed;">Upper band – application</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="268 842 379 1061">20–22</td> <td data-bbox="379 842 1294 1061"> <p><i>Shows a perceptive practical understanding of the varying aspects of the roles</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • An assured discussion of how the roles could be played, showing perceptive understanding of the ghosts. • Insightful practical suggestions with frequent and well-selected references to the extract. </td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="268 1061 379 1317">17–19</td> <td data-bbox="379 1061 1294 1317"> <p><i>Shows detailed practical understanding of the varying aspects of the roles</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • An effective discussion of how the roles could be played, showing detailed understanding of the ghosts. • Well-formulated ideas although there may be scope for further refinement; consistent and appropriate references to the extract. </td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="268 1317 379 1538">14–16</td> <td data-bbox="379 1317 1294 1538"> <p><i>Shows secure understanding of the varying aspects of the roles</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A consistent understanding of the ghosts, which is mostly viable. There may be some examples of how to play each of the roles. • A good level of detail with some appropriate references to the extract. </td> <td data-bbox="1294 1317 1369 1915" rowspan="3" style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; text-orientation: mixed;">Middle band – understanding</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="268 1538 379 1727">11–13</td> <td data-bbox="379 1538 1294 1727"> <p><i>Shows some understanding of the varying aspects of the roles</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Variable understanding of the ghosts, some of which is viable. There may be limited examples of how to play each of the roles. • A focus on the more obvious aspects of the ghosts. </td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="268 1727 379 1915">8–10</td> <td data-bbox="379 1727 1294 1915"> <p><i>Shows undeveloped/superficial understanding of the varying aspects of the roles</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A few partially formulated ideas about the ghosts. • A superficial approach based mostly on description; occasional reference to the extract. </td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	23–25	<p><i>Shows a sophisticated practical understanding of the varying aspects of the roles</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A comprehensive discussion of how the roles could be played, showing sophisticated understanding of the ghosts and their significance in the extract. • Excellent, practical suggestions with sustained and detailed reference to the extract. 	Upper band – application	20–22	<p><i>Shows a perceptive practical understanding of the varying aspects of the roles</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • An assured discussion of how the roles could be played, showing perceptive understanding of the ghosts. • Insightful practical suggestions with frequent and well-selected references to the extract. 	17–19	<p><i>Shows detailed practical understanding of the varying aspects of the roles</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • An effective discussion of how the roles could be played, showing detailed understanding of the ghosts. • Well-formulated ideas although there may be scope for further refinement; consistent and appropriate references to the extract. 	14–16	<p><i>Shows secure understanding of the varying aspects of the roles</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A consistent understanding of the ghosts, which is mostly viable. There may be some examples of how to play each of the roles. • A good level of detail with some appropriate references to the extract. 	Middle band – understanding	11–13	<p><i>Shows some understanding of the varying aspects of the roles</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Variable understanding of the ghosts, some of which is viable. There may be limited examples of how to play each of the roles. • A focus on the more obvious aspects of the ghosts. 	8–10	<p><i>Shows undeveloped/superficial understanding of the varying aspects of the roles</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A few partially formulated ideas about the ghosts. • A superficial approach based mostly on description; occasional reference to the extract. 	25
23–25	<p><i>Shows a sophisticated practical understanding of the varying aspects of the roles</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A comprehensive discussion of how the roles could be played, showing sophisticated understanding of the ghosts and their significance in the extract. • Excellent, practical suggestions with sustained and detailed reference to the extract. 	Upper band – application														
20–22	<p><i>Shows a perceptive practical understanding of the varying aspects of the roles</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • An assured discussion of how the roles could be played, showing perceptive understanding of the ghosts. • Insightful practical suggestions with frequent and well-selected references to the extract. 															
17–19	<p><i>Shows detailed practical understanding of the varying aspects of the roles</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • An effective discussion of how the roles could be played, showing detailed understanding of the ghosts. • Well-formulated ideas although there may be scope for further refinement; consistent and appropriate references to the extract. 															
14–16	<p><i>Shows secure understanding of the varying aspects of the roles</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A consistent understanding of the ghosts, which is mostly viable. There may be some examples of how to play each of the roles. • A good level of detail with some appropriate references to the extract. 	Middle band – understanding														
11–13	<p><i>Shows some understanding of the varying aspects of the roles</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Variable understanding of the ghosts, some of which is viable. There may be limited examples of how to play each of the roles. • A focus on the more obvious aspects of the ghosts. 															
8–10	<p><i>Shows undeveloped/superficial understanding of the varying aspects of the roles</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A few partially formulated ideas about the ghosts. • A superficial approach based mostly on description; occasional reference to the extract. 															

Question	Answer		Marks
9	5–7	<i>Identifies one or two examples of the varying aspects of the roles</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Rudimentary suggestions based on isolated references to the extract. The response is predominantly narrative. 	Lower band – identification
	2–4	<i>Simplistic response</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The response shows little understanding of the role. 	
	0/1	No answer/insufficient response to meet the criteria in the band above.	

Question	Answer		Marks	
10	As a director, how far would you seek to stage the extract as a comedy, and why?		25	
	<p>This dramatisation of Dickens’s novel makes much of the rumbustious, colourful world of the story, emphasising its bizarre elements and heightening the sense of fantasy and humour. The themes of Dickens’s story are fundamentally dark. Responses should reflect this, and also the fact that in this stage version there are numerous comedic passages, which the director has the opportunity to emphasise. Allow credit for outlining cogent reasons for comedic staging – or otherwise – of the extract.</p>			
	23–25	<i>Shows a sophisticated practical understanding of the extract and offers creative solutions</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Comprehensive discussion of the extent to which a director might adopt a comedic approach. Excellent ideas with sustained and detailed reference to the extract. 		Upper band – application
	20–22	<i>Shows a perceptive practical understanding of the extract and offers creative solutions</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Assured discussion of the extent to which a director might adopt a comedic approach. Insightful ideas with frequent and well-selected references to the extract. 		
	17–19	<i>Shows detailed practical understanding of the extract</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Effective discussion of the extent to which a director might adopt a comedic approach. Well-formulated ideas although there may be scope for further refinement; consistent and appropriate references to the extract. 		
14–16	<i>Shows secure understanding of the extract</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A consistent discussion of the extent to which a director might adopt a comedic approach, which is mostly viable; there may be some suggestions of how it can be realised. A good level of detail with some appropriate references to the extract. 	Middle band – understanding		

Question	Answer		Marks
10	11–13	<p><i>Shows some understanding of aspects of the extract</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Variable understanding of the director's intention, some of which is viable; there may be limited suggestions of how it can be realised. A focus on the more obvious aspects of the extract. 	Loweband– identification
	8–10	<p><i>Shows undeveloped/superficial understanding of the extract</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A few partially formulated ideas about the director's intention. A superficial approach based mostly on description with occasional reference to the extract. 	
	5–7	<p><i>Identifies one or two examples of how the director could approach the drama</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Rudimentary suggestions based on isolated references to the extract. Response is predominantly narrative. 	
	2–4	<p><i>Simplistic response</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Shows little understanding of how to direct the extract. 	
	0/1	No answer/insufficient response to meet the criteria in the band above.	

Question	Answer		Marks
11	<p>As a designer, what methods would you use to distinguish between past, present and future in the extract?</p> <p>The impact of the drama relies heavily on the ability to create a clear sense of time in the staging of the extract. Allow for creative solutions that demonstrate understanding of how design elements can contribute to this.</p>		25
23–25	<p><i>Shows a sophisticated practical understanding of design elements and offers creative solutions</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Comprehensive discussion of design elements showing sophisticated understanding of how they could be used to differentiate time. Excellent, practical suggestions with sustained and detailed reference to the extract. 	Upper band – application	
20–22	<p><i>Shows a perceptive practical understanding of design elements and their challenges</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> An assured discussion of design elements showing perceptive understanding of how they could be used to differentiate time. Insightful practical suggestions with frequent and well-selected references to the extract. 		
17–19	<p><i>Shows a detailed practical understanding of design elements</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> An effective discussion of design elements showing detailed understanding of how they could be used to differentiate time. Well-formulated ideas although there may be scope for further refinement; consistent and appropriate references to the extract. 		

Question	Answer		Marks
11	14–16	<p><i>Shows secure understanding of design elements</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A consistent understanding of the design elements which is mostly viable; there may be some suggestions of how they could be used to differentiate time. • A good level of detail with some appropriate references to the extract. 	Middle band – understanding
	11–13	<p><i>Shows some understanding of design elements</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Variable understanding of design elements some of which are viable; there may be limited suggestions of how they could be used to differentiate time. • A focus on the more obvious aspects of the extract. 	
	8–10	<p><i>Shows undeveloped/superficial understanding of design elements</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A few partially formulated ideas about design elements. • A superficial approach to design elements based mostly on description with little reference to the extract. 	
	5–7	<p><i>Identifies one or two examples of design elements</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Rudimentary suggestions based on isolated references to the extract. • Response is predominantly narrative. 	Lower band – identification
	2–4	<p><i>Simplistic response</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Shows little understanding of design elements. • Response may be typified by a sketch only with no supporting detail. 	
	0/1	No answer/insufficient response to meet the criteria in the band above.	

Question	Answer		Marks														
12	<p>What drama skills were most important in communicating the ideas in your devised piece to the audience? Illustrate your answer with examples.</p> <p>The focus of the question is to allow a thorough discussion of the ideas of the devised piece, and to allow reflection as to which drama skills were most important in communicating them.</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="268 483 1369 1984"> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="268 483 379 741">23–25</td> <td data-bbox="379 483 1294 741"> <p><i>Shows a sophisticated practical understanding of the devised piece and the skills involved</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A comprehensive discussion of the ideas of the piece identifying appropriately applied drama skills. • Excellent, practical evaluation of how well these ideas were communicated, with sustained and detailed reference to the devised piece. </td> <td data-bbox="1294 483 1369 1285" rowspan="3" style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; text-orientation: mixed;">Upper band – evaluation</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="268 741 379 999">20–22</td> <td data-bbox="379 741 1294 999"> <p><i>Shows a perceptive practical understanding of the devised piece and the skills employed.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • An assured discussion of the ideas of the piece identifying appropriately applied drama skills. • Insightful practical evaluation of how well these ideas were communicated, with frequent and well-selected references to the devised piece. </td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="268 999 379 1285">17–19</td> <td data-bbox="379 999 1294 1285"> <p><i>Shows detailed practical understanding of the devised piece and the skills involved.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • An effective discussion of the ideas of the piece identifying appropriately applied drama skills. • Well-formulated practical evaluation of how well these ideas were communicated, although there may be scope for further refinement; consistent and appropriate references to the devised piece. </td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="268 1285 379 1509">14–16</td> <td data-bbox="379 1285 1294 1509"> <p><i>Shows secure understanding of the devised piece and the skills involved.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A consistent understanding of the ideas of the piece identifying appropriately applied drama skills. • A good level of detail with some appropriate references to the devised piece. There may be some evaluative comment. </td> <td data-bbox="1294 1285 1369 1984" rowspan="3" style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; text-orientation: mixed;">Middle band – understanding</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="268 1509 379 1733">11–13</td> <td data-bbox="379 1509 1294 1733"> <p><i>Shows some understanding of the devised piece in relation to drama skills.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Variable understanding of the ideas of the piece identifying some appropriately applied drama skills. • A focus on the more obvious aspects of the devised piece. There may be limited evaluative comment. </td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="268 1733 379 1984">8–10</td> <td data-bbox="379 1733 1294 1984"> <p><i>Shows undeveloped/superficial understanding of the devised piece in relation to drama skills.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A few partially formulated suggestions related to the ideas of the devised piece with superficial references to the application of drama skills. • A superficial approach based mostly on description; occasional reference to the devised piece. </td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		23–25	<p><i>Shows a sophisticated practical understanding of the devised piece and the skills involved</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A comprehensive discussion of the ideas of the piece identifying appropriately applied drama skills. • Excellent, practical evaluation of how well these ideas were communicated, with sustained and detailed reference to the devised piece. 	Upper band – evaluation	20–22	<p><i>Shows a perceptive practical understanding of the devised piece and the skills employed.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • An assured discussion of the ideas of the piece identifying appropriately applied drama skills. • Insightful practical evaluation of how well these ideas were communicated, with frequent and well-selected references to the devised piece. 	17–19	<p><i>Shows detailed practical understanding of the devised piece and the skills involved.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • An effective discussion of the ideas of the piece identifying appropriately applied drama skills. • Well-formulated practical evaluation of how well these ideas were communicated, although there may be scope for further refinement; consistent and appropriate references to the devised piece. 	14–16	<p><i>Shows secure understanding of the devised piece and the skills involved.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A consistent understanding of the ideas of the piece identifying appropriately applied drama skills. • A good level of detail with some appropriate references to the devised piece. There may be some evaluative comment. 	Middle band – understanding	11–13	<p><i>Shows some understanding of the devised piece in relation to drama skills.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Variable understanding of the ideas of the piece identifying some appropriately applied drama skills. • A focus on the more obvious aspects of the devised piece. There may be limited evaluative comment. 	8–10	<p><i>Shows undeveloped/superficial understanding of the devised piece in relation to drama skills.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A few partially formulated suggestions related to the ideas of the devised piece with superficial references to the application of drama skills. • A superficial approach based mostly on description; occasional reference to the devised piece. 	25
23–25	<p><i>Shows a sophisticated practical understanding of the devised piece and the skills involved</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A comprehensive discussion of the ideas of the piece identifying appropriately applied drama skills. • Excellent, practical evaluation of how well these ideas were communicated, with sustained and detailed reference to the devised piece. 	Upper band – evaluation															
20–22	<p><i>Shows a perceptive practical understanding of the devised piece and the skills employed.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • An assured discussion of the ideas of the piece identifying appropriately applied drama skills. • Insightful practical evaluation of how well these ideas were communicated, with frequent and well-selected references to the devised piece. 																
17–19	<p><i>Shows detailed practical understanding of the devised piece and the skills involved.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • An effective discussion of the ideas of the piece identifying appropriately applied drama skills. • Well-formulated practical evaluation of how well these ideas were communicated, although there may be scope for further refinement; consistent and appropriate references to the devised piece. 																
14–16	<p><i>Shows secure understanding of the devised piece and the skills involved.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A consistent understanding of the ideas of the piece identifying appropriately applied drama skills. • A good level of detail with some appropriate references to the devised piece. There may be some evaluative comment. 	Middle band – understanding															
11–13	<p><i>Shows some understanding of the devised piece in relation to drama skills.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Variable understanding of the ideas of the piece identifying some appropriately applied drama skills. • A focus on the more obvious aspects of the devised piece. There may be limited evaluative comment. 																
8–10	<p><i>Shows undeveloped/superficial understanding of the devised piece in relation to drama skills.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A few partially formulated suggestions related to the ideas of the devised piece with superficial references to the application of drama skills. • A superficial approach based mostly on description; occasional reference to the devised piece. 																

Question	Answer			Marks
12	5–7	<i>Identifies one or two examples from the devised piece</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Rudimentary suggestions based on isolated references to the devised piece and tangential references to the application of drama skills. • Response is predominantly narrative. 	Lower band – identification	
	2–4	<i>Simplistic response</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Shows little understanding of the ideas in the piece or the use of drama skills. 		
	0/1	No answer/insufficient response to meet the criteria in the band above.		

Question	Answer		Marks														
13	<p>Your devised piece has been selected for a competitive student drama festival. What aspects would you want to work on or change, and why?</p> <p>This question invites candidates to consider how their piece could be adapted for performance in a different setting. Answers may consider structural issues, length, communication of message, dialogue, projection, diction, proximity of audience and any other relevant features. They should indicate in each case why the adaptations indicated would be necessary.</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="268 551 1369 2016"> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="268 551 379 806">23–25</td> <td data-bbox="379 551 1294 806"> <p><i>Shows a sophisticated practical understanding of the devised piece and its style and the way it could be adapted for a different context.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Comprehensive discussion of the overall intention for the piece with sophisticated understanding as to how it can be realised in a different context. Excellent evaluation of what adaptations would be necessary and why. </td> <td data-bbox="1294 551 1369 1350" rowspan="3" style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; text-orientation: mixed;">Upper band – evaluation</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="268 806 379 1061">20–22</td> <td data-bbox="379 806 1294 1061"> <p><i>Shows a perceptive practical understanding of the devised piece and the way it could be adapted for a different context.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> An assured discussion of the overall intention for the piece with perceptive understanding of how it can be realised in a different context. Insightful evaluation of what adaptations would be necessary and why. </td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="268 1061 379 1350">17–19</td> <td data-bbox="379 1061 1294 1350"> <p><i>Shows detailed practical understanding of the devised piece and the way it could be adapted for a different context.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> An effective discussion of the overall intention for the piece with detailed understanding of how it can be realised a different context. Well-formulated evaluation of what adaptations would be necessary, although there may be scope for further refinement; consistent and appropriate references to the piece. </td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="268 1350 379 1574">14–16</td> <td data-bbox="379 1350 1294 1574"> <p><i>Shows secure understanding of the devised piece</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A consistent understanding of the overall intention for the piece which is mostly viable; there may be some suggestions of how it can be realised in a different context. A good level of detail of what adaptations would be necessary and why, with some appropriate references to the piece. </td> <td data-bbox="1294 1350 1369 2016" rowspan="3" style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; text-orientation: mixed;">Middle band – understanding</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="268 1574 379 1798">11–13</td> <td data-bbox="379 1574 1294 1798"> <p><i>Shows some understanding of aspects of the devised piece</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Variable understanding of the overall intention for the piece, some of which is viable; there may be limited suggestions of how it can be realised in a different context. A focus on the most obvious adaptations that would be necessary. </td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="268 1798 379 2016">8–10</td> <td data-bbox="379 1798 1294 2016"> <p><i>Shows undeveloped/superficial understanding of aspects of the devised piece</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A few partially formulated ideas about the overall intention for the piece. A superficial approach based mostly on description with occasional reference to the piece. </td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		23–25	<p><i>Shows a sophisticated practical understanding of the devised piece and its style and the way it could be adapted for a different context.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Comprehensive discussion of the overall intention for the piece with sophisticated understanding as to how it can be realised in a different context. Excellent evaluation of what adaptations would be necessary and why. 	Upper band – evaluation	20–22	<p><i>Shows a perceptive practical understanding of the devised piece and the way it could be adapted for a different context.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> An assured discussion of the overall intention for the piece with perceptive understanding of how it can be realised in a different context. Insightful evaluation of what adaptations would be necessary and why. 	17–19	<p><i>Shows detailed practical understanding of the devised piece and the way it could be adapted for a different context.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> An effective discussion of the overall intention for the piece with detailed understanding of how it can be realised a different context. Well-formulated evaluation of what adaptations would be necessary, although there may be scope for further refinement; consistent and appropriate references to the piece. 	14–16	<p><i>Shows secure understanding of the devised piece</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A consistent understanding of the overall intention for the piece which is mostly viable; there may be some suggestions of how it can be realised in a different context. A good level of detail of what adaptations would be necessary and why, with some appropriate references to the piece. 	Middle band – understanding	11–13	<p><i>Shows some understanding of aspects of the devised piece</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Variable understanding of the overall intention for the piece, some of which is viable; there may be limited suggestions of how it can be realised in a different context. A focus on the most obvious adaptations that would be necessary. 	8–10	<p><i>Shows undeveloped/superficial understanding of aspects of the devised piece</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A few partially formulated ideas about the overall intention for the piece. A superficial approach based mostly on description with occasional reference to the piece. 	25
23–25	<p><i>Shows a sophisticated practical understanding of the devised piece and its style and the way it could be adapted for a different context.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Comprehensive discussion of the overall intention for the piece with sophisticated understanding as to how it can be realised in a different context. Excellent evaluation of what adaptations would be necessary and why. 	Upper band – evaluation															
20–22	<p><i>Shows a perceptive practical understanding of the devised piece and the way it could be adapted for a different context.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> An assured discussion of the overall intention for the piece with perceptive understanding of how it can be realised in a different context. Insightful evaluation of what adaptations would be necessary and why. 																
17–19	<p><i>Shows detailed practical understanding of the devised piece and the way it could be adapted for a different context.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> An effective discussion of the overall intention for the piece with detailed understanding of how it can be realised a different context. Well-formulated evaluation of what adaptations would be necessary, although there may be scope for further refinement; consistent and appropriate references to the piece. 																
14–16	<p><i>Shows secure understanding of the devised piece</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A consistent understanding of the overall intention for the piece which is mostly viable; there may be some suggestions of how it can be realised in a different context. A good level of detail of what adaptations would be necessary and why, with some appropriate references to the piece. 	Middle band – understanding															
11–13	<p><i>Shows some understanding of aspects of the devised piece</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Variable understanding of the overall intention for the piece, some of which is viable; there may be limited suggestions of how it can be realised in a different context. A focus on the most obvious adaptations that would be necessary. 																
8–10	<p><i>Shows undeveloped/superficial understanding of aspects of the devised piece</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A few partially formulated ideas about the overall intention for the piece. A superficial approach based mostly on description with occasional reference to the piece. 																

Question	Answer			Marks
13	5–7	<i>Identifies one or two examples from the devised piece</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Rudimentary suggestions based on isolated references to the piece. • Response is predominantly narrative. 	Lower band – identification	
	2–4	<i>Simplistic response</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Shows little understanding of the purpose of the piece. 		
	0/1	No answer/insufficient response to meet the criteria in the band above.		

Question	Answer	Marks																					
14	<p>How did you plan the use of the available performance space for your devised piece? How effective was the result?</p> <p>The focus of the question is not on the choice of stage-space, which may have been very limited in the context of the candidate's school. Candidates should offer creative solutions to how they used the space available to best effect.</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="268 483 1369 2040"> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="268 483 379 703">23–25</td> <td data-bbox="379 483 1294 703"> <p><i>Shows a sophisticated practical understanding of staging and offers creative solutions</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Comprehensive discussion of staging showing sophisticated understanding of its effectiveness. Excellent, practical evaluation with sustained and detailed reference to the devised piece. </td> <td data-bbox="1294 483 1369 1151" rowspan="3" style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; text-orientation: mixed;">Upper band – evaluation</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="268 703 379 922">20–22</td> <td data-bbox="379 703 1294 922"> <p><i>Shows a perceptive practical understanding of staging and its challenges</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> An assured discussion of staging, showing perceptive understanding of its effectiveness. Insightful practical evaluation with frequent and well-selected references to the devised piece. </td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="268 922 379 1151">17–19</td> <td data-bbox="379 922 1294 1151"> <p><i>Shows a detailed practical understanding of staging</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> An effective discussion of staging, showing detailed understanding of its effectiveness. Well-formulated evaluation, although there may be scope for further refinement; consistent and appropriate references to the devised piece. </td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="268 1151 379 1339">14–16</td> <td data-bbox="379 1151 1294 1339"> <p><i>Shows secure understanding of staging</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A consistent understanding of staging, which is mostly viable; there may be some suggestions of how it would be effective. A good level of detail with some appropriate references to the devised piece. </td> <td data-bbox="1294 1151 1369 1648" rowspan="3" style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; text-orientation: mixed;">Middle band – understanding</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="268 1339 379 1491">11–13</td> <td data-bbox="379 1339 1294 1491"> <p><i>Shows some understanding of staging</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Variable understanding of staging, some of which is viable; there may be limited suggestions of how it would be effective. A focus on the more obvious aspects of the devised piece. </td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="268 1491 379 1648">8–10</td> <td data-bbox="379 1491 1294 1648"> <p><i>Shows undeveloped/superficial understanding of staging</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A few partially formulated ideas about staging. A superficial approach to staging based mostly on description with little reference to the devised piece. </td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="268 1648 379 1800">5–7</td> <td data-bbox="379 1648 1294 1800"> <p><i>Identifies one or two examples of staging</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Rudimentary suggestions based on isolated references to the devised piece. Response is predominantly narrative. </td> <td data-bbox="1294 1648 1369 1957" rowspan="3" style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; text-orientation: mixed;">Lower band – identification</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="268 1800 379 1957">2–4</td> <td data-bbox="379 1800 1294 1957"> <p><i>Simplistic response</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Shows little understanding of staging. Response may be typified by a sketch only with no supporting detail. </td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="268 1957 379 2040">0/1</td> <td data-bbox="379 1957 1294 2040">No answer/insufficient response to meet the criteria in the band above.</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	23–25	<p><i>Shows a sophisticated practical understanding of staging and offers creative solutions</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Comprehensive discussion of staging showing sophisticated understanding of its effectiveness. Excellent, practical evaluation with sustained and detailed reference to the devised piece. 	Upper band – evaluation	20–22	<p><i>Shows a perceptive practical understanding of staging and its challenges</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> An assured discussion of staging, showing perceptive understanding of its effectiveness. Insightful practical evaluation with frequent and well-selected references to the devised piece. 	17–19	<p><i>Shows a detailed practical understanding of staging</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> An effective discussion of staging, showing detailed understanding of its effectiveness. Well-formulated evaluation, although there may be scope for further refinement; consistent and appropriate references to the devised piece. 	14–16	<p><i>Shows secure understanding of staging</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A consistent understanding of staging, which is mostly viable; there may be some suggestions of how it would be effective. A good level of detail with some appropriate references to the devised piece. 	Middle band – understanding	11–13	<p><i>Shows some understanding of staging</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Variable understanding of staging, some of which is viable; there may be limited suggestions of how it would be effective. A focus on the more obvious aspects of the devised piece. 	8–10	<p><i>Shows undeveloped/superficial understanding of staging</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A few partially formulated ideas about staging. A superficial approach to staging based mostly on description with little reference to the devised piece. 	5–7	<p><i>Identifies one or two examples of staging</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Rudimentary suggestions based on isolated references to the devised piece. Response is predominantly narrative. 	Lower band – identification	2–4	<p><i>Simplistic response</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Shows little understanding of staging. Response may be typified by a sketch only with no supporting detail. 	0/1	No answer/insufficient response to meet the criteria in the band above.	25
23–25	<p><i>Shows a sophisticated practical understanding of staging and offers creative solutions</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Comprehensive discussion of staging showing sophisticated understanding of its effectiveness. Excellent, practical evaluation with sustained and detailed reference to the devised piece. 	Upper band – evaluation																					
20–22	<p><i>Shows a perceptive practical understanding of staging and its challenges</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> An assured discussion of staging, showing perceptive understanding of its effectiveness. Insightful practical evaluation with frequent and well-selected references to the devised piece. 																						
17–19	<p><i>Shows a detailed practical understanding of staging</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> An effective discussion of staging, showing detailed understanding of its effectiveness. Well-formulated evaluation, although there may be scope for further refinement; consistent and appropriate references to the devised piece. 																						
14–16	<p><i>Shows secure understanding of staging</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A consistent understanding of staging, which is mostly viable; there may be some suggestions of how it would be effective. A good level of detail with some appropriate references to the devised piece. 	Middle band – understanding																					
11–13	<p><i>Shows some understanding of staging</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Variable understanding of staging, some of which is viable; there may be limited suggestions of how it would be effective. A focus on the more obvious aspects of the devised piece. 																						
8–10	<p><i>Shows undeveloped/superficial understanding of staging</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A few partially formulated ideas about staging. A superficial approach to staging based mostly on description with little reference to the devised piece. 																						
5–7	<p><i>Identifies one or two examples of staging</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Rudimentary suggestions based on isolated references to the devised piece. Response is predominantly narrative. 	Lower band – identification																					
2–4	<p><i>Simplistic response</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Shows little understanding of staging. Response may be typified by a sketch only with no supporting detail. 																						
0/1	No answer/insufficient response to meet the criteria in the band above.																						